

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,685	07/15/2003	Satoshi Toyoda	K-2124	5521
32628	7590 10/17/2006		EXAMINER	
KANESAKA BERNER AND PARTNERS LLP			CORBIN, ARTHUR L	
	SUITE 300, 1700 DIAGONAL RD ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2848		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
* - <b>= ·= ·</b>	,		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/618,685	TOYODA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Arthur L. Corbin	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed  Tom the mailing date of this communication.  The property of the communication of the communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	<u>uly 2006</u> .				
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 3-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 3-9 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 5,9 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examine  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated to accomplicate that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection that the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct that any objection the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	er election requirement.  er.  epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is e	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/618,685

Art Unit: 1761

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the original disclosure for: "exposing...the curdlan" (claim 3, lines 5-6); "exposing...first coating" (claim 4, lines 5-6); and exposing "the coating" to an aqueous alkali solution (claim 5, line 5). It is the "meat product or processed meat product" that is exposed to an alkali or acid solution and not just the coating, as is now claimed. Further, there is no support for using a second, non-curdlan coating which is configured to complete gelling (claim 1) or that the acid is used for the purpose of neutralizing alkalinity of the first coating (claim 4). Corrections are required.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 is indefinite in reciting "comprising the first coating" (lines 6-7) since it is not clear why this is needed or what is intended thereby. This can

Art Unit: 1761

be corrected by changing the indefinite language to "and". Correction is required without new matter.

- 5. Claims 5 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 5, last line, "and" should be added after "coating". In claim 9, line 6, "product" is misspelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP Abstract 09238653 as set forth in paragraph no. 3, Paper No. 051106.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The JP Abstract as applied to claims 3 and 6-9 above, and further in view of Nakao and Taguchi et al as set forth in paragraph no. 4, Paper No. 051106.
- 9. Applicant's arguments filed July 31, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's contention that the JP Abstract fails to disclose applicant's two step method is not convincing since the JP Abstract achieves the same result as applicant in one step by in situ formation of a curdlan film on the meat product.

  Applicant's belief that Taguchi et al teaches away from acid gelling of curdlan is in error since Taguchi et al merely states that the resulting gels cannot be easily used for food

Application/Control Number: 10/618,685

Art Unit: 1761

use. However, Taguchi et al is merely relied upon for the concept that acid gelling of curdlan is a viable alternative to heat gelling of curdlan.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur L. Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton I. Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,685

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arthur L Corbin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

10-12-06